

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	7		,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,858	12/14/2000	Jackson B. Hester JR.	6295.N CN1	8319
	7590 10/18/2002			
Lucy X. Yang			EXAMINER	
Global Intelle	Upjohn Company ctual Property		COLEMAN, BR	ENDA LIBBY
301 Henrietta Street Kalamazoo, MI 49001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1624	1 1
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002	U^{p}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A-diserto)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/736,858	HESTER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brenda L. Coleman	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 July 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· _	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,22-25,30-36 and 38-66</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,22-25,30-36,38-45 and 47-66</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>46</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) 🔲 -	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22-25, 30-36 and 38-66 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicant's amendment dated July 30, 2002. Claims 1, 2, 46, 47, 52 and 65 have been amended.

The amendments to claims 1, 2 and 52 have been entered see 37 CFR § 1.121.

However, the applicants' are reminded of the manner of making amendments.

37 CFR 1.121. Manner of making amendments in application.

(c) Claims. —

- (1) Amendment by rewriting, directions to cancel or add. Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting such claim with all changes (e.g., additions, deletions, modifications) included. The rewriting of a claim (with the same number) will be construed as directing the cancellation of the previous version of that claim. A claim may also be canceled by an instruction.
 - (i) A rewritten or newly added claim must be in clean form, that is, without markings to indicate the changes that have been made. A parenthetical expression should follow the claim number indicating the status of the claim as amended or newly added (e.g., "amended," "twice amended," or "new").
 - (ii) If a claim is amended by rewriting such claim with the same number, the amendment must be accompanied by another version of the rewritten claim, on one or more pages separate from the amendment, marked up to show all the changes relative to the previous version of that claim. A parenthetical expression should follow the claim number indicating the status of the claim, e.g., "amended," "twice amended," etc. The parenthetical expression "amended," "twice amended," etc. should be the same for both the clean version of the claim under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section and the marked up version under this paragraph. The changes may be shown by brackets (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter), or by any equivalent marking system. A marked up version does not have to be supplied for an added claim or a canceled claim, as it is sufficient to state that a particular claim has been added, or canceled.
- (2) A claim canceled by amendment (deleted in its entirety) may be reinstated only by a subsequent amendment presenting the claim as a new claim with a new claim number.

Art Unit: 1624

(3) A clean version of the entire set of pending claims may be submitted in a single amendment paper. Such a submission shall be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. A marked up version is required only for claims being changed by the current amendment (see paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section). Any claim not accompanied by a marked up version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed July 30, 2002 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled a)-p) of the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**. However, with regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection labeled q), the applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - q) The applicants' stated that the "applicants have addressed each of the typographical errors identified by the examiner". However, the rejection labeled q in the last office action where the last species contains a capital letter has not been addressed.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For reasons of record and stated above.

In view of the amendment dated July 30, 2002, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22, 30-36, 38, 42, 47-56, 61 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the substituents on the phenyl ring is not described in the specification for the genus.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22-25, 30-36, 38-45, 47-64 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22-25, 30-36, 38-45, 47-64 and 66 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "----- in structure iii is either a double bond or a single bond". There is no structure iii within the claims.
- b) Claim 1 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by CH3 in the definition of the substituents at each occurrence of the phenyl.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,858 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

c) Claims 9, 11, 13, 17 and 42-45 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "X oxygen atom".

- d) Claim 16 is vague and indefinite in that it does not end with a period indicating the end of the claim.
- e) Claim 47 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the "1." which appears at the beginning of the claim.
- f) Claims 47-64 and 66 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the negative charge on the O in formula B.
- g) Claims 47-64 and 66 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the N-R₅ in formula B, which is not valence satisfied.
- h) Claim 47 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the second occurrence of a period at the end of the claim.
- i) Claim 54 and 56 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "X oxygen atom".
- i) Claim 66 recites the limitation 'formula I" in the method of use of the compounds as shown in claim 47. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 46 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 703-305-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Branda Coleman

Brenda Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624 October 16, 2002